FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE

2004 MAY 28 P 2: 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUS LISTRICT OF MASS.

) CIVIL ACTION
FRANK QUAGLIA,) NO. 04-10460-RWZ
Plaintiff,)
v. BRAVO NETWORKS, NATIONAL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC.,	 PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES REGARDING JOINT Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) REPORT
doing business as NBC; RAINBOW MEDIA HOLDINGS, INC., and DOES 1-10.) [L.R. 16.1(d)])
Defendants.	 Scheduling Conference: June 2, 2004 Time: 2:30 p.m. Location: Courtroom No. 12, 5th Floor
) Honorable Rya W. Zobel

Pursuant to the Court's Notice of Scheduling Conference, counsel for Plaintiff offers the following additional statement.

II. DISCOVERY PLAN

Defendants have essentially proposed that all discovery be stayed except as to "substantial similarity" in a copyright context. Doing so would thwart one of the best arguments Quaglia has, to wit: that "when access is proven, the required degree of similarity becomes somewhat less than it would have been in the absence of that proof." Nimmer on Copyright, §13.03[D], pp. 13-78 to 13-79, citing at fn.

197, inter alia, Sid & Marty Kroft Television Prods., Inc. v. McDonald's Corp, 562 F. 2d 1157 (9th Cir. 1977). Steinberg v. Columbia Pictures Industries., Inc., 738 F. 2d 548 (2d Cir. 1984) and numerous other cases.

Quaglia believes that showing access will be relatively easy, thus making the "substantial similarity" argument that much more powerful. To deny Quaglia the opportunity to do discovery on access ties one hand behind his back and gives defendants an unfair advantage.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK QUAGLIA,

CHRIS WINTON HENDERSON

(BBO No. 655321)

By his attorneys,

57 Wharf Street

Suite No. 3A

Salem, Massachusetts 01970

(978) 741-4646

(978) 740-8880 (fax)

Of Counsel

Neville L. Johnson Douglas L. Johnson JOHNSON & RISHWAIN LLP 12121 Wilshire Blvd. **Suite 1201**

Los Angeles, California 90025-1175

(310) 826-2410

(310) 826-5450 (fax)

Date: May 28, 2004